UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,900	03/10/2004	James J. La Clair	1133.022US1	3353	
21186 7590 10/20/2008 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER		
			LIN, JERRY		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1631			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/797,900 CLAIR, JAMES J.		A
Examiner	Art Unit	

		OEIRICI EIII	1001	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REF	PLY FILED <u>16 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
app app for	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on lication, applicant must timely file one of the following lication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request	
a) 🔲	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) 🛚	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.	
_	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
have been under 37 0 set forth in may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exCFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later e any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	
	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of	
filin	g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a	3
3. 🔲 Th	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered because	
	They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying the issues for	
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.	
(- / -	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,		
4. 🔲 Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. 🔲 Ap	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	,	
	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al -allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the	
hov The	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) very the new or amended claims would be rejected is proventus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an explanation of	
	m(s) objected to:			
	im(s) rejected: <u>2-10 and 12-20</u> . im(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1,11 and 21-23</u> .			
	TIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲 The	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and a not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered it or other evidence is necessary and	
ente	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a	
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.	
	T FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t da a NOT alaas tha analisation in	and the females are because	
<u>S</u>	e request for reconsideration has been considered but ee note below.		n condition for allowance because:	
	ote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ther:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Marior	ie Moran/			
-	sory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1631			

Continuation of Note 7 & 11:

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102

Applicants have responded to this rejection by stating that the claimed invention creates a binary stream of data that exists in the light before it passes through a substrate. Applicants state that O'Keefe merely uses a light signal, which is not a digital signal, which is then converted into a digital signal. Applicants contend that the claimed invention is distinguishable from O'Keefe because the initial light signal in the claimed invention carries a binary stream of data, whereas O'Keefe's light signal does not. However, O'Keefe teaches extracting binary data from his light signals (page 10, paragraph 0106-0107). In order to extract binary data from his signals, his signals must be carrying binary data. The instant claims recite "creating at least one stream of binary data carried by electrical, molecular, or light signals." Thus, O'Keefe appears to have created signals with binary data, because he is able to extract such data from the signals.

Applicants also raise the point that O'Keefe teaches converting the signals to digital data after the signal has passed through the substrate whereas Applicant's method directly detect and records actual changes on the substrate. While applicant's claims may include embodiments where the method directly detect and records actual changes on the substrate, the claims as written are broad enough to include embodiments where the signal is converted to digital data. Unless the claims are limited to directly detecting actual changes on the substrate which result in binary data, this limitation cannot be used to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

This rejection is maintained.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2561. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-5:30pm, M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marjorie A. Moran can be reached at (571) 272-0720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JL/